

## MEMA Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) Project Ranking

Category	Points Possible	Weight	Description
<b>State Priorities</b>	5	20%	<p>Activity meets the State’s priorities as identified in the current Administrative Plan. Scored on a sliding scale. The State’s priorities are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Structural community-based risk reduction projects.</li> <li>• Acquisition/demolition of structures within identified hazardous areas.</li> <li>• Emergency power generators for Critical Facilities.</li> <li>• Property protection measures.</li> <li>• Public education and awareness including HMPs.</li> </ul>
<b>Risk Reduction of Hazards</b>	5	10%	<p>Reduction of risk to natural hazards identified in the State Hazard Mitigation Plan. Widespread hazards: Coastal, Flood, Winter Storm, Tornado, Wind. Common hazards: Thunderstorm, Wildfire, and Drought.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: Multiple hazards, including at least one (1) widespread hazard</li> <li>• 4 points: One (1) widespread hazard</li> <li>• 3 points: Multiple common hazards</li> <li>• 2 points: One (1) common hazard</li> <li>• 1 point: Emerging hazard or a hazard not identified in the State HMP</li> </ul>
<b>Construction and Advance Assistance projects only</b>	5	20%	<p>The activity reduces the risk and benefits a community (more than 1 property or individual). Identified hazardous areas are those geographical locations identified in the State and/or local hazard mitigation plan. For residential structure, priority will be given to primary residence.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: Protects critical infrastructure, reduces risk for more than 1 property located within identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 4 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for more than 1 property located outside of identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 3 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for only 1 property.</li> <li>• 2 points: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located within identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 1 point: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located outside identified hazardous area.</li> </ul>
<b>Hazard Mitigation Planning Only (All Hazards and Flood Plans)</b>	5	20%	<p>The effective expiration date of a FEMA approved Hazard Mitigation Plan.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: 3 years until expiration</li> <li>• 4 points: 2 years until expiration</li> <li>• 3 points: 1 years until expiration</li> <li>• 2 points: Expired</li> <li>• 1 point: 4 years until expiration or an interim update</li> </ul>
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	5	10%	<p>A Benefit-Cost Ratio has been provided for the activity. The BCRs for all submitted subapplications will be categorized into High, Average, and Low based on natural breaks. If a BCR of 1.0 or higher is not able to be obtained then the project does not meet the minimum requirements of the HMA programs.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: High/Project does not require a BCR</li> <li>• 4 points: Above Average</li> <li>• 3 points: Average</li> <li>• 2 points: Below Average</li> <li>• 1 point: Low</li> </ul>

Category	Points Possible	Weight	Description
<b>Performance Measurement</b>	5	10%	<p>The subapplication describes the overall anticipated results in qualitative and/or quantitative terms. The subapplication identifies how the activity will be accomplished and how the activity's results will be evaluated and who will evaluate them. Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-Federal share is identified (source, availability, value)</li> <li>• Proposes a long-term solution to issue</li> <li>• Activity can begin within 90 days after award</li> <li>• Community and/or governmental support is documented</li> <li>• Success metrics are identified</li> <li>• Responsible agency/organization is identified</li> </ul>
<b>Subapplication Completeness</b>	5	7.5%	<p>Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Subapplication and attachments submitted by deadline.</li> <li>• HMP is approved and adopted.</li> <li>• Subapplication is signed by Authorized Agent</li> <li>• All attachments are included, such as engineering and design plans, elevation certificates, property appraisals, etc.</li> <li>• Scope of work clearly identifies tasks, methods and/or machinery required, identifies staff, and activity alternatives.</li> <li>• Schedule is 36 months or less, includes milestones and tasks as identified in SOW and provides a realistic timeframe.</li> <li>• Budget is itemized, mirrors SOW and Schedule, narrative included, eligible and reasonable costs, and documentation provided.</li> <li>• <b>For PDM and FMA if the HMP is not FEMA approved and locally adopted by the required date then the subapplication will not be submitted to FEMA.</b></li> </ul>
<b>Vulnerability Consideration</b>	5	7.5%	<p>Intended to assist State agency emergency officials plan tactics, develop strategies, allocate resources, and prioritize responses for emergencies. Identifies vulnerable population areas for special attention based on socioeconomic risk or vulnerability in the event of an emergency.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: High</li> <li>• 4 points: Above Average</li> <li>• 3 points: Average</li> <li>• 2 points: Below Average</li> <li>• 1 point: Low</li> </ul>
<b>Mitigation Advisory Committee Overall Rating</b>	5	15%	<p>Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consistency with other State Agency objectives and initiatives</li> <li>• Ranking by local jurisdiction</li> <li>• Local jurisdiction coordination</li> <li>• Positive impact on people or the environment</li> <li>• Other activities completed or proposed in the community</li> <li>• Efficient use of funds</li> <li>• Participation in NFIP and CRS</li> </ul>